

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
08/796,752	02/06/1997	KOJI ARAI	614.1804/HJS	9335	
21171	7590 09/04	02			
	IALSEY LLP	EXAM	EXAMINER		
700 11TH ST SUITE 500	•		NGUYEN, PHUONGCHAU BA		
WASHINGT	ON, DC 20001		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2665		
			DATE MAILED: 09/04/2002	DATE MAILED: 09/04/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

1	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- M			
Advisory Action	08/796,752	ARAI, KOJI	,,			
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Phuongchau Ba Nguyen	2665				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 22 August 2002 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply n places the applica	y to a tion in			
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amon he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprount of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	•					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	cause:					
(a) \boxtimes they raise new issues that would require furthe	r consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	S.			
NOTE: the amended feature to claims 22 and 23						
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would l canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment			
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NO	Γ place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment (explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			nd an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: 3-5,8,9,11 and 12.						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>22 and 23</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	_					
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	ner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other:						
Patent and Trademark Office						

Heren Mary

Continuation of 5. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Alexis teaches the time division of input signal into a plurality of signals which would be transmitted to mobiles. Applicant is directed to 103 rejection and paragraph A in the Response to Argument Section in the Final Office Action wherein Alexis's teaching is being applied to the admitted prior for improving the signal quality in reception and avoiding fading and interference. It is noticed that 103 rejection in the Final Office Action where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See In re Keller, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); In re Merck & Co., 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986)...

Phuongchau Br Nguyen 8.27.02